UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,614	06/17/2005	Hans-Ulrich Petereit	272301US0PCT	4700
		O1/13/2010 CCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.  WESTERBERG, NISSA M  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		272301USOPCT 4700  EXAMINER  WESTERBERG, NISSA M  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1618  NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MODE
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA	REET			RG, NISSA M
ALEAANDRIA	1, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.		
	10/539,614		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nissa M. Westerberg	1618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l	9ss
This application is abandoned in view of:		•	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period of the proper reply to the Office of M period of the proper reply to the Office of M period of the proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which place	s the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, t	to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Trans	smission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no			=-
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire inter	rest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity unde	r 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seekin	g court review
7. The reason(s) below:			
The lack of a timely response was confirmed by Jay	Rowe on January 5, 2010		
/Nissa M Westerberg/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618	/Jake M. Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 1618	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be pro	mptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)